

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	S-1
1. PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.2 BACKGROUND.....	1-1
1.3 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION	1-2
1.3.1 Okanogan Public Utility District	1-2
1.3.2 Alternatives Evaluated Based on Economic Impact to Customers	1-2
1.3.3 System Problems	1-5
1.3.4 Project Objectives.....	1-9
1.3.5 USDA Forest Service Purpose and Need.....	1-10
1.3.6 BLM Purpose and Need	1-10
1.4 PROPOSED ACTION	1-10
1.5 DECISIONS TO BE MADE.....	1-11
1.6 PREVIOUS STUDIES	1-11
1.7 SCOPING AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT.....	1-12
1.8 ISSUES AND CONCERNS	1-12
1.8.1 Air Quality	1-13
1.8.2 Soils.....	1-13
1.8.3 Vegetation, including Wetlands and Riparian Areas	1-13
1.8.4 Fish and Wildlife	1-13
1.8.5 Visual.....	1-14
1.8.6 Recreation	1-14
1.8.7 Cultural Resources	1-14
1.8.8 Social and Economic Environment.....	1-14
1.8.9 Health and Safety, including Noise and Fire	1-15
1.8.10 Access	1-15
1.9 ORGANIZATION OF THE DOCUMENT	1-15
2. ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.2 ALTERNATIVES OVERVIEW AND SCOPING	2-1
2.2.1 Regulatory Requirements of NEPA and SEPA	2-1
2.2.2 Alternatives Generated Based on Issues from Scoping	2-2
2.2.3 Alternative Screening Process	2-2
2.2.4 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Review	2-4
2.3 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED.....	2-7
2.3.1 Alternative 1—No Action	2-7
2.3.2 Alternative 2—Pateros/Twisp Transmission Line (Proposed Action)	2-7

2.3.3	Alternative 3—Valley Floor Transmission Line	2-10
2.3.4	Alternative 4—Loup Loup Hot Rebuild	2-13
2.3.5	Alternative 5—Loup Loup Hot Rebuild with New Substation and Transmission Line	2-15
2.3.6	Alternative 6—Loup Loup Cold Rebuild with Temporary Generation	2-18
2.3.7	Alternative 7—Partial Hot, Partial Parallel Rebuild of the Loup Loup Transmission Line	2-23
2.4	PROJECT COMPONENTS INCLUDING MITIGATION MEASURES	2-26
2.4.1	Construction Mitigation Measures	2-26
2.4.2	Rights-of-Way Acquisition	2-29
2.4.3	Access Roads.....	2-30
2.4.4	Laydown and Staging Areas.....	2-32
2.4.5	Transmission Facilities	2-33
2.4.6	Substation Facilities.....	2-44
2.4.7	Distribution System.....	2-45
2.4.8	Temporary Generation	2-47
2.4.9	System Maintenance	2-48
2.5	SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF ALTERNATIVES	2-48
2.6	IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE	2-60
3.	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS	3.1-1
3.1	INTRODUCTION	3.1-1
3.1.1	National Forest System Lands	3.1-3
3.1.2	Washington State Department of Natural Resources.....	3.1-4
3.1.3	Okanogan County Planning Department.....	3.1-5
3.1.4	Transportation Improvements.....	3.1-6
3.2	AIR QUALITY	3.2-1
3.2.1	Affected Environment	3.2-1
3.2.2	Environmental Effects.....	3.2-2
3.3	SOILS	3.3-1
3.3.1	Affected Environment	3.3-1
3.3.2	Environmental Effects.....	3.3-5
3.4	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY.....	3.4-1
3.4.1	Affected Environment	3.4-1
3.4.2	Environmental Effects.....	3.4-5
3.5	VEGETATION, NOXIOUS WEEDS, AND RARE PLANTS	3.5-1
3.5.1	Affected Environment	3.5-1
3.5.2	Environmental Effects.....	3.5-12
3.6	WETLANDS AND RIPARIAN VEGETATION.....	3.6-1
3.6.1	Affected Environment	3.6-1
3.6.2	Environmental Effects.....	3.6-7

3.7	FISHERIES	3.7-1
3.7.1	Affected Environment	3.7-1
3.7.2	Environmental Effects	3.7-16
3.8	WILDLIFE	3.8-1
3.8.1	Affected Environment	3.8-1
3.8.2	Environmental Effects	3.8-39
3.8.3	Cumulative Effects	3.8-55
3.9	LAND USE	3.9-1
3.9.1	Affected Environment	3.9-1
3.9.2	Environmental Effects	3.9-9
3.10	RECREATION	3.10-1
3.10.1	Affected Environment	3.10-1
3.10.2	Environmental Effects	3.10-5
3.11	VISUAL RESOURCES	3.11-1
3.11.1	Affected Environment	3.11-1
3.11.2	Environmental Effects	3.11-9
3.12	CULTURAL RESOURCES	3.12-1
3.12.1	Affected Environment	3.12-1
3.12.2	Environmental Effects	3.12-6
3.13	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	3.13-1
3.13.1	Affected Environment	3.13-1
3.13.2	Environmental Effects	3.13-11
3.14	NOISE, PUBLIC HEALTH, AND SAFETY	3.14-1
3.14.1	Affected Environment	3.14-1
3.14.2	Environmental Effects	3.14-5
3.15	OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS	3.15-1
3.15.2	Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Effects	3.15-1
3.15.3	Short-Term Use Versus Long-Term Productivity	3.15-1
3.15.4	Effects on Prime Farmland, Rangeland, and Forest Land	3.15-1
3.15.5	Urban Quality and Historic and Heritage Resources	3.15-2
3.15.6	Energy Requirements and Conservation Potential of Alternatives	3.15-2
3.15.7	Potential Conflicts with Plans and Policies of Other Jurisdictions	3.15-2
3.15.8	Wetlands and Floodplains	3.15-3
3.15.9	Consumers, Civil Rights, Minority Groups, Low-Income Populations, and Women	3.15-3
4.	MITIGATION	4-1
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.2	AIR QUALITY	4-2
4.2.1	Dust Control	4-2

4.2.2	Emissions	4-2
4.3	SOILS	4-2
4.4	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	4-5
4.5	VEGETATION	4-5
4.5.1	Vegetation	4-5
4.5.2	Rare Plants	4-6
4.5.3	Noxious Weeds	4-6
4.6	WETLANDS AND RIPARIAN AREAS	4-7
4.6.1	Wetlands	4-7
4.6.2	Riparian Vegetation	4-8
4.7	FISH	4-8
4.8	WILDLIFE	4-9
4.8.1	Habitat Loss and Fragmentation	4-9
4.8.2	Bird Collision/Electrocution	4-9
4.8.3	Raptor Predation on Grouse	4-10
4.8.4	Disturbance of Wildlife	4-10
4.9	VISUAL RESOURCES	4-11
4.10	CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-11
4.11	ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT	4-12
4.12	NOISE, PUBLIC HEALTH, AND SAFETY	4-12
4.12.1	Noise Mitigation Measures	4-12
4.12.2	Fire Mitigation Measures	4-12
4.12.3	Herbicide Application Mitigation Measures	4-13
5.	REFERENCES	5-1
6.	LIST OF PREPARERS AND CONTRIBUTORS	6-1
7.	DISTRIBUTION LIST	7-1
8.	GLOSSARY	8-1
9.	INDEX	9-1
APPENDIX A	EXISTING SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	
APPENDIX B	DETAILED MAPS OF THE ALTERNATIVES	
APPENDIX C	COST ESTIMATE	
APPENDIX D	RARE PLANTS, SCIENTIFIC NAMES, AND NOXIOUS WEEDS	
APPENDIX E	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	
APPENDIX F	MATERIAL SAFETY DATA SHEETS	

TABLES

Table 1-1.	System Problems and Measures	1-5
Table 2-1.	Ability of Alternatives to Meet Purpose and Need	2-3
Table 2-2.	Alternatives Carried Through Full Analysis	2-4
Table 2-3.	Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Review	2-6
Table 2-4.	Alternative 2 Compliance with Nine Project Objectives	2-9
Table 2-5.	Alternative 3 Compliance with Nine Project Objectives	2-11
Table 2-6.	Alternative 4 Compliance with Nine Project Objectives	2-16
Table 2-7.	Alternative 5 Compliance with Nine Project Objectives	2-19
Table 2-8.	Alternative 6 Compliance with Nine Project Objectives	2-22
Table 2-9.	Alternative 7 Compliance with Nine Project Objectives	2-25
Table 2-10.	Easements, ROW Grants, and Permits Required by Alternative	2-30
Table 2-11.	Access Roads Required by Alternative	2-30
Table 2-12.	Summary of Laydown and Staging Areas	2-33
Table 2-13.	Transmission Facilities Construction Duration by Alternative	2-34
Table 2-14.	Approximate Miles of Transmission Line to be Constructed by Alternative	2-38
Table 2-15.	Estimated Number and Average Height of Structures to Install, by Alternative	2-39
Table 2-16.	Conductor Rating and Average Span Between Structures by Alternative	2-39
Table 2-17.	Estimated Percent Distribution of Hole Digging Techniques by Alternative	2-40
Table 2-18.	Estimated Percent Distribution of Structure Transportation Techniques by Alternative	2-41
Table 3.2-1.	National and State Air Quality Standards	3.2-2
Table 3.2-2.	Emission Rates Per Engine without CO catalysts for the Ophir project	3.2-4
Table 3.2-3.	Estimated Total Emissions	3.2-4
Table 3.3-1.	Area and Extent of Potential Soil Compaction in the Project Area	3.3-5
Table 3.3-2.	Predicted Erosion and Sedimentation per Acre with Mitigation in Place (Mulch) by Alternative	3.3-9
Table 3.3-3.	Soil Disturbance Potential by Alternative (acres)	3.3-10
Table 3.3-4.	Soil Disturbance Potential within 300 Feet of Streams by WDNR Stream Type and Alternative	3.3-11
Table 3.3-5.	Disturbance from Structure Areas within 300 Feet of Streams by Erosion Potential and Alternative (acres)	3.3-11
Table 3.3-6.	Road-Related Disturbance within 900 Feet of Streams by WDNR Stream Type and Alternative (acres)	3.3-12
Table 3.3-7.	Soil Compaction Potential in Construction Areas by Alternative	3.3-13
Table 3.4-1.	Number of Road-Stream Crossings Related to Maintenance and Construction Activities by Type and Alternative	3.4-9
Table 3.5-1.	General Vegetation Communities in the Project Area	3.5-4
Table 3.5-2.	Ecological Conditions Rating Method	3.5-6
Table 3.5-3.	Summary of Acreage of Vegetation Types by Transmission Corridor	3.5-7

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

Table 3.5-4.	Summary of Vegetation Types and Ratings Immediately North and South of the Central Section of the Loup Loup Transmission Corridor	3.5-8
Table 3.5-5.	Summary of Noxious Weeds within Proposed Transmission Corridors	3.5-10
Table 3.5-6.	Road-Related Disturbance by Alternative and Vegetation Type	3.5-15
Table 3.5-7.	Structure, Laydown Area, and Substation-Related Disturbance by Alternative and Vegetation Type	3.5-16
Table 3.5-8.	Miles of Road by Type and Alternative	3.5-18
Table 3.5-9.	Structure, Laydown Area, and Substation-Related Disturbance by Alternative	3.5-18
Table 3.5-10.	Summary of Effects on Vegetation, Noxious Weeds, and Rare Plants of Past, Present, and Future Actions in the Project Area	3.5-23
Table 3.6-1.	Summary of NWI Wetlands Identified within the Project Area	3.6-4
Table 3.6-2.	Number and Acres of Wetlands Surveyed in the Alternative Transmission Corridors	3.6-5
Table 3.6-3.	Summary of Riparian Area Crossings in Surveyed Project Corridors	3.6-7
Table 3.6-4.	Wetlands Potentially Affected by Proposed Actions, by Alternative	3.6-8
Table 3.6-5.	Number of Streams Crossed by the Proposed Construction Activities, by Alternative	3.6-11
Table 3.6-6.	Number of Stream Crossings where Riparian Vegetation could be Affected, by Alternative	3.6-11
Table 3.7-1.	Fish Species within the Project Area	3.7-4
Table 3.7-2.	Watershed Acres within the Project Area	3.7-8
Table 3.7-3.	Number of Stream Crossings and Stream Type by Watershed and Subwatershed	3.7-9
Table 3.7-4.	Fish Species and Habitat Use in the Lower Methow Subwatershed	3.7-10
Table 3.7-5.	Fish Species and Habitat Use in the Middle Methow Subwatershed	3.7-12
Table 3.7-6.	Effects of Sediment Input and Riparian Vegetation Removal on the Matrix of Pathways and Indicators	3.7-17
Table 3.7-7.	Projected Ground Disturbance by Alternative (acres)	3.7-18
Table 3.7-8.	Projected Ground Disturbance within 300 feet of Streams, by Alternative (acres)	3.7-20
Table 3.8-1.	Vegetation Communities	3.8-3
Table 3.8-2.	A Summary of the Vegetation Types Crossed by Each of the Proposed Transmission Corridors and Their Associated Roads	3.8-4
Table 3.8-3.	Summary of Snag Transects	3.8-8
Table 3.8-4.	Bark Class, Evidence of Foraging, and Presence of Nest Holes or Dens in Snags Documented along the Forested Portion of the Existing Loup Loup Transmission Line Route	3.8-8
Table 3.8-5.	Key Wildlife Species	3.8-10
Table 3.8-6.	Estimated Acres of Disturbance to Vegetation Based on the Number of New Structures, Structures Replaced, Constructed Substation, Laydown Areas, and Roding by Alternative	3.8-41
Table 3.8-7.	Miles of Existing and Proposed Road by Road Condition and Alternative	3.8-45

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

Table 3.8-8.	Miles of Existing and Proposed Track Road that may Require Some Level of Blade Work	3.8-45
Table 3.8-9.	Number of Miles per Square Mile of Proposed Road by Alternative within the Methow Transmission Project Area	3.8-45
Table 3.8-10.	Acres of BMU Outside of all Roads Buffered by 1,640 feet on Either Side	3.8-47
Table 3.8-11.	Amount of Security Habitat for Gray Wolf and Wolverine within Six Fifth Level Watersheds that Encompass the Project Area	3.8-50
Table 3.9-1.	Land Ownership in the Project Area	3.9-3
Table 3.9-2.	Okanogan County Zoning Districts within the Project Area	3.9-4
Table 3.9-3.	Management Areas within the Project Area	3.9-6
Table 3.9-4.	Total Affected Miles by Alternative and Ownership	3.9-10
Table 3.9-5.	New Transmission Line Construction by Alternative and Ownership (miles)	3.9-10
Table 3.9-6.	New Utility Corridors Only (miles)	3.9-10
Table 3.9-7.	Easements and Permits Required by Alternative	3.9-11
Table 3.9-8.	Land Use Zoning by Alternative (miles)	3.9-12
Table 3.10-1.	Developed Recreation Opportunities within the Project Area	3.10-2
Table 3.11-2.	Alternative 2 Visual Impact Summary	3.11-15
Table 3.11-3.	Alternative 3 Visual Impact Summary	3.11-23
Table 3.11-4.	Alternative 4 Visual Impact Summary	3.11-39
Table 3.12-1.	Cultural Resources Intersected by Project Rights-of-Way	3.12-5
Table 3.13-1.	Population, 1990 and 2000	3.13-4
Table 3.13-2.	Race and Ethnicity, 2000	3.13-5
Table 3.13-3.	Housing, 2000	3.13-7
Table 3.13-4.	Total Employment in Okanogan County by Sector, 1990 and 2000	3.13-9
Table 3.13-5.	Per Capita Income and Sources of Income, 1999	3.13-10
Table 3.13-6.	Persons Below Poverty, 1999	3.13-11
Table 3.13-7.	Estimated Construction Duration, Labor Force, and Total Construction Cost by Alternative	3.13-12
Table 3.13-8.	Construction Labor Force by Construction Element and Alternative	3.13-13
Table 3.13-9.	Number of Customers Affected by the Unplanned Outage in September 2004	3.13-15
Table 3.13-10.	Summary of Estimated Costs by Alternative	3.13-24
Table 3.13-11.	Summary of Estimated Annual Retail Revenues by Alternative	3.13-25
Table 3.14-1.	Common Noise Sources and Sound Levels	3.14-2
Table 3.14-2.	Construction Noise in the Vicinity of a Construction Site	3.14-6
Table 6-1.	PUD Team Members	6-1
Table 6-2.	USDA Forest Service Team Members	6-1
Table 6-3.	Tetra Tech Team Members	6-1

FIGURES

Figure 1-1.	Project Vicinity Map	1-4
Figure 2-1.	Project Location	2-5
Figure 2-2.	Alternative 2—Pateros/Twisp New Transmission Line (Proposed Action)	2-8
Figure 2-3.	Alternative 3 Valley Floor New Transmission Line	2-12
Figure 2-4.	Alternative 4 Hot Rebuild of Loup Loup Transmission Line	2-14
Figure 2-5.	Alternative 5 Hot Rebuild of Loup Loup Transmission Line Plus Approximately 14 Miles of New Transmission Line on Pateros/Twisp Alignment from Pateros to Gold Creek	2-17
Figure 2-6.	Alternative 6 Cold Rebuild of Loup Loup Transmission Line	2-20
Figure 2-7.	Alternative 7 Partial Hot, Partial Parallel Rebuild of Loup Loup Transmission Line	2-24
Figure 2-8.	Typical H-Frame Structure	2-35
Figure 2-9.	Typical Transmission Over Distribution, Single Structure	2-36
Figure 2-10.	Typical Single Structure Transmission Only Structure	2-37
Figure 2-11.	Typical Three-Pole Structure	2-38
Figure 3.1-1.	Project Area	3.1-2
Figure 3.5-1.	Map of Vegetation Types	3.5-3
Figure 3.7-1.	Major Streams in the Vicinity of the Methow Transmission Project Area	3.7-2
Figure 3.8-1.	Grizzly Bear Core Habitat	3.8-48
Figure 3.8-2.	Gray Wolf/Wolverine Security Habitat	3.8-57
Figure 3.8-3.	Influence of Existing and Proposed Roads on Deer Winter Range	3.8-58
Figure 3.9-1.	Project Area Zoning	3.9-5
Figure 3.11-1.	Characteristic Landscapes	3.11-2
Figure 3.11-2.	Viewshed Analysis	3.11-5
Figure 3.11-3.	Alternative 2 – Visual Assessment Points Location Map	3.11-14
Figure 3.11-4.	Visual Simulation VAP TP7	3.11-17
Figure 3.11-5.	VAP TP7 Seen Area	3.11-18
Figure 3.11-6.	Visual Simulation VAP TP13	3.11-20
Figure 3.11-7.	VAP TP13 Seen Area	3.11-21
Figure 3.11-8.	Alternative 3 Visual Assessment Points Location Map	3.11-22
Figure 3.11-9.	Visual Simulation VAP VF12	3.11-26
Figure 3.11-10.	VAP VF 12 Seen Area	3.11-27
Figure 3.11-11.	Visual Simulation VAP VF17A	3.11-29
Figure 3.11-12.	VAP VF 17A Seen Area	3.11-30
Figure 3.11-13.	Visual Simulation VAP VF21	3.11-32
Figure 3.11-14.	VAP VF21 Seen Area	3.11-33
Figure 3.11-15.	Visual Simulation VAP VF27	3.11-35
Figure 3.11-16.	VAP VF27 Seen Area	3.11-36
Figure 3.11-17.	Alternative 4 Visual Assessment Points Location Map	3.11-38
Figure 3.11-18.	Visual Simulation VAP LL5	3.11-40

LIST OF FIGURES (cont.)

Figure 3.11-19. VAP LL5 Seen Area	3.11-41
Figure 3.11-20. Visual Simulation VAP LL8	3.11-43
Figure 3.11-21. VAP LL8 Seen Area	3.11-44
Figure 3.13-1. Census Tract and Census County Division Map for Okanogan County	3.13-2

ACRONYMS

°C	degrees Celsius
µg/m ³	micrograms per meter squared
ACSR	Aluminum Conductor Steel Reinforced
AOP	Air Operating Permit
APE	area of potential effect
BACT	Best Available Control Technology
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
BMP	Best Management Practice
BMU	Bear Management Unit
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration
CAI	Commonwealth Associates, Inc.
CCAS	Canada Lynx Conservation Assessment Strategy
CCD	Census County Division
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CM	centimeters
CMAI	culmination of mean annual increment
CO	carbon monoxide
Corps	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
CRP	Conservation Reserve Program
CUP	conditional use permit
CWA	Clean Water Act
dB	decibel
dBA	A-weighted decibel
dbh	diameter at breast height
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DNS	Determination of Non-Significance
DOT	Washington Department of Transportation
Douglas County PUD	Douglas County Public Utility District
DPS	District Population Segment
ECI	Electrical Consultants, Inc.
EDNA	Environmental Designation for Noise Abatement
EFH	Essential Fish Habitat
EIS	environmental impact statement
EMF	electric and magnetic field
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act

ACRONYMS (Continued)

ESD	Employment Security Department
Ferry County PUD	Public Utility District No. 1 of Ferry County
FPA	Forest Practices Application
FR	Forest Road
FTE	full-time equivalent
G	gauss
GIS	Geographic Information System
GTA	general transfer agreement
HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code
IGBC	Interagency Grizzly Bear Committee
JARPA	Joint Aquatic Resource Permit Application
km ²	kilometers squared
kV	kilovolt
kVA	kilovolt-ampere
kV/m	kilovolts per meter
kW	kilowatt
kWh	kilowatt-hour
LCAS	Lynx Conservation Assessment Strategy
LMZ	Lynx Management Zone
LWD	large woody debris
MA	Management Area
mG	milligauss
MIS	Management Indicator Species
MMBF	million board feet
MRD	Methow Review District
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
MUTCD	Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices
MVCC	Methow Valley Citizens Council
MW	megawatt
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESC	National Electrical Safety Code
NFS	National Forest System
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NO ₂	nitrogen oxide
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOC	Notice of Construction

ACRONYMS (Continued)

NPCC	Northwest Power and Conservation Council
NRCS	Natural Resource Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NWCB	Noxious Weed Control Board
NWFP	Northwest Forest Plan
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
O ₃	ozone
OCEC	Okanogan County Electric Cooperative
OFM	Office of Financial Management
OHA	Okanogan Highlands Alliance
OHV	off-highway vehicle
PACE	People for Alternatives, Conservation and Education
PACFISH	Decision Notice for the Interim Strategies for Managing Anadromous Fish-Producing Watersheds in Eastern Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and Portions of California
Pb	lead
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyls
PM ₁₀	particulate matter with a diameter less than 10 microns
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter with a diameter less than 2.5 microns
ppm	parts per million
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
PUD	Public Utility District No. 1 of Okanogan County
RCW	Revised Code of Washington
RHCA	Riparian Habitat Conservation Areas
RM	river mile
RMAP	Road Maintenance and Abandonment Plan
RMO	Riparian Management Objective
ROW	right-of-way
SCR	Selective Catalytic Reduction
SEPA	State Environmental Policy Act
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Act
SIT	snow intercept thermal cover
SNAP	Sustainable Natural Alternative Power
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SPCC	Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasures
SUP	special use permit
SWPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention
TCP	Traditional Cultural Property
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load

ACRONYMS (Continued)

ULSF	ultra-low sulfur fuel
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VQO	Visual Quality Objective
WAC	Washington Administrative Code
WDFW	Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife
WDNR	Washington State Department of Natural Resources
WEPP	Water Erosion and Protection Project
WRIA	Water Resource Inventory Area
WSDOT	Washington State Department of Transportation

